

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,951	03/07/2005	Janne Muhonen	59643.00579	8417	
32294 7590 10/16/2007 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			BROOKS, SHANNON		
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182  ART UNIT				PAPER NUMBER	
·	•	•	2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/522,951	MUHONEN, JANNE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shannon R. Brooks	2617		

Before the Finny of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shannon R. Brooks	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completed following time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or			
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing da (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	ate of the final rejection.	•			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the piod of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fe I statutory period for reply originally set onths after the mailing date of the final r	e. The appropriate exte in the final Office action ejection, even if timely fi	nsion fee under 37 or (2) færtsetn (b) led, may reduce an			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL -324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an</li> </ul>						
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	* ·	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:	Charman		•			
	CHARLES N. APPIAH	NER				

Continuation of 11. does not place the application in position for allowace because: of the following.

The applicant argues that Hanson and Kallin do not disclose or suggest "comparing the time threshold to a threshold time limit; and in response to the comparing, providing, as the current location, the last known location if the time is within the threshold time limit." However, Hanson teaches, In Figure 5, comparing the time to a threshold time limit, determing if the old cell registration number is equal to the new cell registration number (providing, as the current location, the last known location if the old cell registration number equals the new cell registration number), and then paging accordingly. Therefore, Hanson meets the claim limitation of "comparing the time threshold to a threshold time limit; and in response to the comparing, providing, as the current location, the last known location if the time is within the threshold time limit."

The applicant argues that Hanson and Kallin, alone or in combination, do not teach the present invention. However, Kallin is an exemplary reference from a relevant subclass and was combined with Hanson because it teaches the stated claim limitation of "providing as a current location," as set forth in the final office action.